Message

From: AccardiDey, AmyMarie [AmyMarie.Accardi-Dey@wsp.com]

Sent: 6/18/2020 11:00:16 AM

To: Smeraldi, Josh [Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov]

CC: Warner, Leonard [len.warner@wsp.com]; Franklin, Elizabeth A CIV USARMY CENWK (USA)

[Elizabeth.A.Franklin@usace.army.mil]

Subject: RE: FS and Proposed Plan

Josh – Since groundwater alternatives are changing, we do not have any usable text to share. We will review her concerns in light of our conversation today.

AmyMarie Accardi-Dey, PhD, PG, CPC

Phone: 1-914-620-5110

From: Smeraldi, Josh <Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov> Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 5:27 PM

To: AccardiDey, AmyMarie < AmyMarie. Accardi-Dey@wsp.com>

Cc: Warner, Leonard <len.warner@wsp.com>; Franklin, Elizabeth A CIV USARMY CENWK (USA)

<Elizabeth.A.Franklin@usace.army.mil>
Subject: FW: FS and Proposed Plan

Below are Kathryn's comments. I haven't reviewed this in detail but I noticed she is concerned with the Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

If you have any text that addresses her

concerns and that you feel comfortable sending it may be helpful.

Thanks,

Josh

From: Flynn, Kathryn <<u>Flynn.Kathryn@epa.gov</u>> Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 5:16 PM To: Smeraldi, Josh <<u>Smeraldi, Josh@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Sivak, Michael <<u>Sivak.Michael@epa.gov</u>>; Olsen, Marian <<u>Olsen.Marian@epa.gov</u>>; Nace, Charles

<Nace.Charles@epa.gov>; Reilly, WilliamJ <Reilly.WilliamJ@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: FS and Proposed Plan

Josh,

My specific comments on the first draft mostly do not apply to this version. I still have the following concerns that we discussed with Michael on our 5/27 call and our 6/11 call last week:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

I also had comments on the MNA alternative, but I didn't include it since it won't be in the next version of the FS.

Thanks, Kathryn

Final Feasibility Study Report, Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site, Newark, New Jersey

1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)
6.	
7.	
8.	



The following minor comments don't change the substance of the FS text:

Section 5.3 Page 5-10: The text should not say the groundwater is brackish so "Groundwater use at the Site is unlikely because shallow groundwater is brackish," needs to be removed.

Section 2.8 Page 2-29: The text on this page should state what groundwater COPCs were the hypothetical risk.

Section 3.1.2 Page 3-1: The text should state what groundwater COPCs caused a risk in the BHHRA. Is it all of the chemicals in Table 3-1?

Section 6.4 Page 6-29: The 6th bullet point repeats information from the 2nd bullet point.

Kathryn Flynn Technical Support Section Emergency and Remedial Response Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 290 Broadway New York, NY 10007



From: Olsen, Marian < <u>Olsen.Marian@epa.gov</u>> Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2020 1:34 AM

To: Smeraldi, Josh <Smeraldi, Josh@epa.gov>; Nace, Charles <Nace.Charles@epa.gov>; Flynn, Kathryn

<Flynn.Kathryn@epa.gov>; Reilly, WilliamJ <Reilly.WilliamJ@epa.gov>

Cc: Sivak, Michael <Sivak.Michael@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: FS and Proposed Plan

Hi,

Attached are my comments on the draft FS with concentration on the risk based information and the summary Table of TBC/ARARs.

I will be out of the office in the morning and will check messages upon return in the afternoon.

Thanks.

Marian

From: Smeraldi, Josh <Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2020 9:48 AM

To: Nace, Charles < Nace. Charles@epa.gov>; Olsen, Marian < Olsen. Marian@epa.gov>; Flynn, Kathryn

<<u>Flynn.Kathryn@epa.gov</u>>; Reilly, WilliamJ <<u>Reilly.WilliamJ@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Sivak, Michael < Sivak. Michael@epa.gov>

Subject: FS and Proposed Plan

Hi Everyone,

For the next few weeks we will be moving very fast and I want to let everyone know where we are at with the proposed plan so that you can plan your review. Also, as a reminder, please submit your comments or suggested edits on the FS by Wednesday (6/17).

For reviewing the proposed plan, you all will be the first level reviewers, then it will go on to Chloe, ORC (Doug and Sarah), and Michael. Then it will go to HQ and the state for their review. Each review period will be very short and I hope to incorporate comments relatively quickly in order to stay on schedule for the ROD. So please bring up any significant issues immediately during your review and we can discuss. Also, I will share the online version of the document so everyone will be working/commenting on the same version.

The proposed plan is expected to drafted by Monday or Tuesday next week. Much of the content will be pulled from the FS so it should not be new information. Please plan for a 4 day review period. I would like to turn it around and get it to the next set of reviewers by the end of next week or early the following week.

Let me know if you have any questions. Thank you for working with me and this crazy schedule.

Thanks,

Josh

Josh Smeraldi, Ph.D. Env. Eng EPA Region 2 Superfund and Emergency Management Division 212 637 4302

NOTICE. This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain information which is privileged, confidential, proprietary or otherwise subject to restricted disclosure under applicable law. This message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on, this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized or intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.